



Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council

Chair
Council Member Carol Arends
City of Bremerton

Vice-Chair
Commissioner Steve Bauer
Kitsap County

Commissioner Jan Angel
Commissioner Josh Brown
Kitsap County

Mayor Cary Bozeman
Council Member Will Maupin
Council Member Nick Wofford *
City of Bremerton

Mayor Darlene Kordonowy
Council Member Debbie Vancil
Council Member Kim Brackett *
City of Bainbridge Island

Mayor Lary Coppola
Council Member Carolyn Powers *
City of Port Orchard

Mayor Kathryn Quade
Council Member Ed Stern
City of Poulsbo

Council Chair Leonard Forsman
Rob Purser *
*Suquamish Tribe****

Council Chair Ron Charles
Doug Quade, CEO *
*Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe****

Commissioner Cheryl Kincer
Commissioner Bill Mahan *
Port of Bremerton

Captain Reid Tanaka
Tom Danaher, PAO *
*Naval Base Kitsap***

Mary McClure
*Executive Management
McClure Consulting LLC*

* *alternate*
** *Ex Officio Member*
*** *Associate Member*

P.O. Box 1934
Kingston, WA 98346
360-377-4900 (voice)
360-297-7762 (fax)
www.KitsapRegionalCouncil.org

Executive Board Meeting May 6, 2008

Meeting Summary

1. Call to Order: Chair Carol Arends called the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council Board to order at 9:09 a.m. (*Attendance noted at end*)

2. Citizen Comments: Two

Dale Rudolph, Poulsbo City Council Member: Kitsap County has benefited financially from membership of the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC). The PSRC does not have authority over Kitsap County's land use planning and its policies. It has been alleged that the PSRC's 2040 Update would take land use planning away from the local level – this is not true. There were only 3 nay votes when 2040 was approved last month.

Patty Lent, Citizen: Since I was County Commissioner, there has been a constant plea to disband this membership. The Puget Sound Regional Council was formed, after the Growth Management Act, to plan on a regional scale. For transportation, housing, and jobs, there has been growth the past 3 years; Kitsap has a strong voice at the PSRC, and all citizens are represented. Kitsap elected officials have been working together with PSRC staff to strengthen ties. The relationship with the Puget Sound Regional Council is important and benefits us all.

3. Consent Agenda:

- a. Approve Minutes from April 8, 2008.
- b. Kitsap County Community Development Corporation: Nomination for Board of Directors.
- c. Low Income Housing Grant Programs: Periodic Review: Committee Membership.
- d. Recommendation to Affirm Funding for Housing Conference Expense.

Motion: (Bauer) and second (Bozeman) to approve Consent Agenda items, as presented. *Motion carried unanimously.*

4. Chair Comments:

Thank you to Captain Reid Tanaka, Commanding Officer Naval Base Kitsap, for his excellent presentation at this morning's Study Session.

5. From the Executive Committee:

- a. Memorandum of Understanding: Kitsap Tribes:
The Suquamish and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribes agreed to a joint Memorandum of Understanding to re-establish membership to the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council. With this action, the Tribes would become

Associate Members and will pay dues established by the Kitsap Regional Council's Executive Board.

Both Tribes have reaffirmed their commitment to work with the other member jurisdictions.

Points of discussion:

- We are glad the Tribes are participating – it seems like an excellent document.
- The Suquamish Tribe appreciates the support from local governments and the Kitsap Regional Council. We look forward to positive interaction and communication. We may not always agree, but we have always respected each other.
- The Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe appreciates the opportunity to work together and the opportunity for other jurisdictions to work with the Tribe.

Motion: (Kordonowy) and second (K. Quade) to authorize the Chair of the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council to sign the attached Memorandum of Understanding naming the Suquamish Tribe and the Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe as Associate Members for the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council. *Motion carried unanimously.*

b. Puget Sound Regional Council ~ Metropolitan Planning Organization ~ Kitsap Options: Process for Review:

This discussion relates to the Regional Transportation Governance discussion that has been going on since 2006, when the Governor appointed a Regional Transportation Governance Commission. At that time, the Regional Council formed an ad hoc committee to determine Kitsap's potential options. The effort was put on hold at the end of 2006, to wait and see what Regional Transportation Governance legislation might emerge over time. This body wrote a unanimously approved letter in December 2006 to the Regional Transportation Governance Commission saying that Kitsap belongs in a 4-County discussion about regional transportation planning and economic development. It was a very supportive letter of the importance of the 4-County regional connection.

A couple pieces of important information to think about how to move forward with this discussion:

- The 2001 – 2013 federal funding cycle starts the allocation of funds in the first quarter of 2009. Any discussions in terms of withdrawing needs to times with respect to that cycle.
- The Multicounty Planning Policies (MPP), part of the 2040 documents, will come to the Planning Directors and to the Board for review and discussion to figure out the ways they impact the Countywide Planning Policies and what changes the Board might want to make to reflect the directions in the MPPs.

The proposal today is for a process to allow the Board to discuss the issue over a number of months to enable conversation and input from the City Councils on this important topic. It sets up a step-wise, iterative conversation, bringing issues and concerns to the table, allowing data and information to be developed, brought back to the Board by the end of the year for a decision. That decision was either to withdraw from the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC), with recommendations about how to do it, how much it would cost, and what it should be replaced with; or to not withdraw from the PSRC and to place some attention on how to become a more effective player in the 4-County region.

Points of discussion:

- In favor of the process: These are important issues, our citizens and a lot of us don't understand what the pros and cons are to membership. The outline is a reasonable work plan over the next few months and it is important that we evaluate Kitsap's membership within the Council – this will give us the opportunity to have that discussion and make a good decision by the end of the year.
- These things need to be looked at every-so often, but the City of Bremerton is on record supporting the current relationship between Kitsap and the PSRC for a variety of reasons: a lot of our work force works in the Puget Sound, a lot of our dependency on dollars and investment are made by people with us in the Puget Sound region, we need to be part of those decision making processes. About land use, it is a living document to change with regular reviews. A balance is struck by the local elected officials, not by the PSRC. This has not changed in the 35 years served in local government. We have so much on our plate and a very small staff – I support trying to become better stewards of our responsibility to regional involvement in the PSRC.
- We have seen the demonstrated benefits of working together in the 4-County region: to solve our problems, air our differences, and find solutions that we can all live with. I think that effort should be extended through the PSRC, not spending our time and limited resources looking at leaving the PSRC. Our resources should be spent trying to strengthen ties and speaking more effectively as a County.
- This is a good process to move forward with. The world is run by the people who show up, if we do not participate and participate with a loud voice, then we are going to be left behind. If we stay members of the PSRC, I would advocate that we do everything possible to participate at every level and increase our voice. Kitsap County is one of four parts of the PSRC – we should be advocating that all the resources be divided equally among the four counties.
- As a member of the PSRC's Growth Management Planning Board for 6 years, it has been very valuable. We are not just sitting at the table listening, we are actually participants in leading the discussions and the preparation of the 2040 Plan. About growth management, the City of Bremerton has never been in the position of following some direction given by the PSRC. Our planning is determined by our comprehensive plan, and our planning is leading the growth management planning in the Puget Sound region. Our Comp Plan is more progressive and will lead to better city layout than anything that is in the Multicounty Planning Policies or the 2040 Plan. The value we get is being at the table over there. One of our biggest issues is ferries - they go to Seattle, the biggest employment center in the region. We have gotten the people over there to spend time looking west and seeing the boats that come from Kitsap, and spending a little effort to help Kitsap. Any thought of breaking away from the east side of Puget Sound is wasted effort – we are part of that mix and we need to stay at the table.
- There are a lot of people in this County that are confused about why we are members of the PSRC (and the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council too). It behooves us to spend time being clearer about what our roles and responsibilities are. Cities and County, urban and rural, concentrating growth and sprawl – these are all areas where there needs to be some clarity among the people of Kitsap County. We have done a great job in the last 5-6 years at the PSRC. Credit goes to the PSRC staff – they have been helpful to the KRCC staff and to our jurisdictions' staff. We have had more meetings here, Mark Gulbranson

attends our meetings to hear what we have to say, and we have had more PSRC Transportation meeting here. The PSRC our voice can be further strengthened at the PSRC table. The Central Growth Management Hearings Board is making more of the decisions about land use than the PSRC and their 2040 Plan is. For Bainbridge Island, when you have so many people that commute into Seattle everyday, the people do look toward the east; the people of Bainbridge Island would not likely be very supportive of choosing to separate ourselves from the PSRC. Those are a couple reasons why I would favor focusing on how to be more effective and building on the already strong foundation we have with the PSRC and the 4-County regional in Puget Sound.

- There is confusion about the role of the PSRC and the pros and cons of being associated with it. A lot of the confusion comes from mis-information. One clear fact: over the last 10 years, if we were not with the PSRC, the County would have had 30 millions fewer transportation dollars to spend throughout our County. That is too much to turn away. An alternative that has been put forward is to join the Peninsula Regional Transportation Planning Organization (PRTPO), that we join counties much more rural than Kitsap. We have a letter from the Jefferson County Commissioners saying that they are not interested in having Kitsap join the PRTPO as a full member. Commissioners from Clallam and Mason counties have made similar comments, so it is pretty clear that they view their issues as rural and they view ours as suburban and urban issues in Kitsap County. Another issue is about 2040: This is a Plan update. I would challenge anyone who claims that the 2040 Plan is limiting local land use decision making authority. So the issue is how to we become more effective in the PSRC. We are making progress, in the past few months the County has received a new voting seat; so when the County sits at the table the County has the same voting clout as Snohomish and Pierce (King has two votes because of their much larger population). Our voices are heard loud and clear at the PSRC. The challenge we have is defining our quality of life for the next couple generations. Our best quality is that all of our cities and major unincorporated Urban Growth Areas are waterfront centers. If we work on improving these core centers, key transportation corridors, and protecting rural Kitsap – that needs to be the discussion, how we can take that lead at the Kitsap Regional Council, and how, through the PSRC we can meet those goals for our community.
- There are misconceptions about the County’s membership with the PSRC. It would be different if we were talking about a regional government, which would have the power to plan for the region through mandates, but the PSRC does not have that power. The PSRC has been responsive to the issues and concern that Kitsap has raised; e.g. the additional County vote in the PSRC Committees – that might have been a contentious, political issue that would take months to discuss and vote on. But it was approved immediately. Talking with folks on the various committees, there has never been discussion about the PSRC staff or members from the other side of the Sound not being responsive to our issues and needs. The issue is not the PSRC, but the issue is our participation, or lack thereof, we do not do as good a job as we should. The way that we operate now, people are appointed to specific committees, but there are no policies in place that they represent when they attend meetings at PSRC, so people vote their own views, views that are not necessarily the County’s views. That means that we are not taking the time to analyze the issues and determine our position as a County so that the people that are attending the meetings are being effective and representing the County. So until someone can prove

conclusively that we have been damaged by the relationship with the PSRC, the bigger issue is how we do ourselves justice and the PSRC justice.

- This process is about evaluating our position with the PSRC. Our citizens have come forward with questions and concerns about our membership at the PSRC - my concern is that their issues will not be addressed. The seats that we recently got from the PSRC were seats that we lost before – we got the seats back, but why did we lose them in the first place? Also, we already belong to the PRTPO, we belong to both organizations. We need to have some evaluation about why we need to belong to both organizations. We do not belong in the PRTPO either because we would be the “King County” of that group. And the letters from the County Commissioners in Jefferson County were solicited. We are not having a conversation about this and I’m going not going to win this one, I’m the only one saying that our citizens have questions and concerns that are not being addressed. The 2040 draft Plan was not reviewed by the voting Board, so I asked for a 30-day postponement of the vote so that there was time to review the document, but it passed with one no vote. They admitted that they hadn’t read the document. Go back to your Council and ask your Councils how many of them have ready the document. We get into such a busy mode of being electeds that we just assume that the PSRC is taking care of us or that a certain association is taking care of us or the KRCC and we need to take accountability as electeds to make sure we get in there and that we’re taken care of. Go back to your Councils; urge them to read the 2040 Plan now. It’s passed, it’s in effect, but they need to read it and understand the consequences. The evaluation is important and if you’re not going to let the citizens to bring their questions and concerns forward, then how do you continue to strengthen the relationship from the KRCC to the PSRC, when are citizens, who we represent, don’t understand the organizations.
- The City of Poulsbo is a very lucky to have an active Council. There are three Council members here today, they do go and attend the PSRC meetings. Poulsbo has been well represented at the PSRC table. How have we been hurt by the PSRC over the last 10 years? *Reply:* We don’t know because there will be no evaluation.
- This discussion has been good – there seems to be consensus from the Board that has been encapsulated in this discussion. We all agree that we can be a lot better at being a cohesive force in the Puget Sound. We should look forward and work toward that and work for clarity on those issues that were brought up today.
- Since 1957, the 4-counties have been cooperating on regional issues, issues that face all our counties and transcend the county boundaries. The first group was called the Puget Sound Governmental Conference; it was formed in 1957 and was just the 4-counties. During that time, the cities requested membership and were granted the ability to become members. In the early 70’s, Pierce County led the charge to abandon the 4-county cooperation and lobbied to give each county an MPO designation. The Governor at the time would not agree to do that. After an assessment throughout the region, it was decided that the 4-county region should become an MPO, thus forming the Puget Sound Regional Council. The PSRC stated business the day after the Puget Sound Governmental Conference was dissolved. They brought in the idea of sub-regional councils, which is where KRCC grew from. That went along fine until the late 80’s/early 90’s, when King County decided they wanted to make another run at being designated the MPO and get out of the PSRC. Once again, the Governor denied the request. If they are not going to give King County that designation, they are certainly not going to give

Kitsap County that designation, but you don't know until you ask. To say that we do not have anything in common with the other three county is not stating the facts; we have a lot in common with them. If we are not at the table with them, issues such as ferry transportation, highways, and how this region grows - we won't have a voice in that discussion. The PSRC has a lot of weight in front of the state legislature because of the hugeness of that side of the region. There has been a lot of discussion about how projects are done with the State; there might be an initiative that would create a 4-county regional transportation governance district for the voters to decide upon. However, if we do not open our eyes to new ideas, there will never be progress. There may be a better to do business. The fact is, the 4-county cooperation that started in 1957 made progress and now does business because people questioned whether it was the right way to do business. It would be proper to learn how we are affected. The fact is we lost seats because we didn't show up and were not effective at the PSRC. One seat was taken away when the PSRC took over the Economic Development District – how that seat disappeared I don't know, but no one was there from the County to say anything about it. It's important that we become more aware of what is going on in the 4-county region so that we can be more effective. We need to look at our membership so that we make changes that are healthy for us, healthy for the Puget Sound region, and healthy for our citizens. The only information getting out to the citizens right now is from the Kitsap Alliance of Property Owners (KAPO), and, frankly, the information is simply not true. King County does not have any affect on our land use decisions, the PSRC's Growth Management Board had very effective representation from Kitsap County – Dale Rudolph was at every meeting, I (Bill Mahan) was there, Commissioner Brown has attended several times, Commissioner Lent was the Chair for a while – we read the 2040 Plan document in pieces and in it's final form before it was voted on. So the charge that no one read the document before we voted on it 2 weeks ago is not true. It is incumbent on us that we ask ourselves what we can do better to improve the relationship in the 4-county region. If we believe that we can't do any better then we are crazy – so what can we do better? I don't think we need a 6-8 month process at all, but we can direct our staffs to come back with a suggest about taking a quicker look at how we can be more effective at the Puget Sound Regional Council.

Motion: (Kordonowy) and second (Bauer) to remain members of the Puget Sound Regional Council and for the Executive Committee to develop a list of steps to take to become more effective for the next meeting and discuss at the next Board Retreat.

Yea: Arends, Bauer, Brown, Brackett, Coppola, Kordonowy, Mahan, Maupin, Quade, Wofford

Nay: Angel

Motion passed.

6. Homeless Housing Grant Program: Recommendations for Funding Cycle 2:

Kirsten Jewell, Project Coordinator for the Homeless Housing Grant Program, prefaced the public hearing with the following comments:

- Recommendations from the Application Review Committee for the 2008 Cycle of the Homeless Housing Grant Program were made to the Board at the April 8, 2008 Executive Board Meeting, initiating the Public Comment Period.

- Thank you to the Application Review Committee. The ARC puts in many hours of volunteer time lending their technical expertise in reviewing the applications & developing the funding recommendations. The ARC review process is one of the strengths of this grant program.
- In keeping with the trend of decreasing funds available, \$565,000 was collected for the 2008 cycle, versus \$620,000 collected for 2007.
- The ARC is recommending at least partial funding for all 14 applicants. The reasons for partial funding are outlined in the letter from the ARC and have to do with un-clarity in project budgets and where projects fall on the list of funding priorities.
- The ARC suggests a few issues for the Grant Program Review Committee to discuss, including caps on administrative overhead allowed in funded projects, and monitoring the effectiveness of funds awarded to projects in previous cycles.
- The Grant Program Review Committee has begun its work and expects to bring back recommendations to the Executive Board at its July meeting.
- Two written comments were submitted during the public comment period. They were included in the Board Packet. They are both generally supportive of the funding recommendations.
- The following Public Hearing will conclude the public comment period for this grant cycle.

Public Hearing started: 10:13 am.

Joe Roszak, Executive Director, Kitsap Mental Health Services: Thank you for your generous funding of the new Kitsap Mental Health Services' Keller House. Keller House, named after former KMHS Executive Director Larry Keller, will serve many of our community's poor and mentally ill.

Public Hearing ended: 10:17 am.

Points of discussion:

- How is the program addressing the issue raised by the Kitsap Consolidated Housing Authority's letter regarding duplication of services with emergency rental assistance?
Answer: This issue is being addressed in three areas: 1) discussion at the Continuum of Care Coalition about how agencies can better communicate and collaborate, 2) discussion and possible recommendations by the Housing Grant Programs Review Committee, and 3) the current revising of the Kitsap Homeless Housing Plan, which will further focus resources on effective and needed programs and projects.
- This program is another example of an unfunded mandate from the state, and that the document recording fee is a weak link to funding this program.
- Thank you to the staff for their work to make this a successful program.

Motion: (Angel) and second (Stern) to approve the funding recommendations for the 2008 Cycle of the Homeless Housing Grant Program as presented by the Application Review Committee.

Motion carried unanimously.

7. Community Development Block Grant Program: Approve 2009 Application and Policy for Use of CDBG & HOME Funds:

Bonnie Tufts, Block Grant Program Manager, noted that this public comment period and public hearing are required by HUD. There are minor changes to the Application and Policy for the 2009 Cycle. Details about the proposed changes were included in the Board Packet last month and this month, including a link to the entire document. No written public comments were received.

Public Hearing started: 10:25 am.

No public comments.

Public Hearing ended: 10:26 am.

Motion: (Bauer) and second (Quade) to approve the Kitsap County 2009 Application Packet and Policy for the Use of CDBG/HOME Funds. *Motion carried unanimously.*
Representatives from the City of Bremerton abstained from voting.

8. Program Report:

a. Kit~Net Interlocal Agreement: Budget Process and Timeline:

The Budget Process and Timeline included in the Board Packet outlines how the Kit~Net Budget process will interact with the Regional Council's budget process and Kit~Net member agency's budget processes.

The Policy Advisory Group worked to balance the need to know the Kit~Net budget early enough to include it in individual agency budgets with the need for the Regional Council to have budget information in a timely way to include in its budget.

An agency may opt out of the budget in October – December only if the budget is significantly different than the budget that the Policy Advisory Group recommends to the Regional Council.

The success of this budget process depends on the Executive Board giving great weight to the recommendations from the Policy Advisory Group.

Points of discussion:

- There is concern that the Policy Advisory Group will be making recommendations before agencies have finalized their budgets. Agencies may need to change their Kit~Net contribution later in the process.
- The Kit~Net budget process is complex by necessity. There are many agencies and their different budgeting timelines that come into play. Recommendations need to be formed early enough that Kit~Net members can have time to discuss them at their agency level. The budget timing was extensively discussed by the Policy Advisory Group and that group agreed to this timing. In addition, the idea of multi-year budgets was discussed, and rejected in favor of the need for flexibility.

Motion: (Kordonowy) and second (Stern) to approve the Kit~Net Budget Process and Timeline as recommended by the Kit~Net Policy Advisory Group. *Motion carried unanimously.*

b. Planning Directors Report: Government as Partner with Kitsap Economic Development Alliance: Permitting:

The Regional Council's Planning Directors Forum is working with the Kitsap Economic Development Alliance (KEDA) on their Kitsap 20/20 initiative - Government as Partner: Permitting. This group will work hand-in-hand with the Kitsap County Task Force on Permitting. The two groups will focus on different aspects of the permitting process; both groups have met two times. The Planning Directors' focus is on special permitting processes that affect the business community and smaller scale applicants (as opposed to large scale developers). The Planning Director's Forum will work to achieve a city perspective, as well as a County perspective, through the KEDA process – each jurisdiction has different process challenges and solutions to offer applicants. The topics for the Kitsap County Task Force are more deeply systemic, and will only focus on unincorporated Kitsap County. The idea is that these groups be productive, not duplicative. To that end, every Planning Directors meeting will begin with a report from the last Task Force meeting.

The basic intent is not to create the same permitting process for each jurisdiction, but to alert the Economic Development Council of concerns on behalf of the business community – these conversations to solve the “tricky” permitting challenges helps citizens and jurisdictions.

c. Transportation: Federal Funds Available:

The Puget Sound Regional Council has notified the Kitsap Regional Council that there is an extra \$1,129,019 available from the 2008-2010 federal transportation funding cycle:

- \$238,535 for rural areas
- \$177,280 for non-motorized
- \$713,204 unencumbered funds for transportation projects

The Transportation Policy Committee (TransPol) will meet later today to review the Technical Advisory Committee's (TransTAC) preliminary recommendations for spending the funds. After TransPol reviews and adjusts, or approves the recommendations; the recommendations will be sent to the Puget Sound Regional Council to meet their deadline. The Kitsap Regional Council's Executive Board will vote on the recommendations next Board meeting, and a final letter of approval confirming the recommendations will subsequently be sent to the PSRC.

A companion recommendation will also be sent to the PSRC through the TAC and Pol Committees to request that funds already allocated to the City of Bainbridge Island for three projects from the 2008-2010 federal funding cycle be aggregated to a single project that was funded at that time.

It is clear that the work done creating the Contingency List in 2006 (when the 2008-2010 federal funds were allocated) was time well spent – the process to distribute the extra funds was nimble and sturdy. The working, collegial attitude at the Transportation Committees has contributed to smooth, fair processes.

d. Telework Pilot Project Proposal:

The Techno-Tools and Telework (T3) Team have met several times since the State Legislature awarded \$150,000 to the Kitsap Regional Council. There is a lot of excitement and the Team is making connections with state organizations. The deadline to submit a process timeline and budget is June 1, 2008; it will be submitted to the Executive board for approval at the June Executive Board meeting.

There is also discussion about sample training with Jefferson County businesses, to help prepare for the Hood Canal Bridge closure in summer 2010.

9. Member Agency Comments: Four

Tom Danaher, Public Affairs Officer, Naval Base Kitsap: Thank you for affording our commanding officer (CO) the chance to update the Board. The previous commander wanted to be part of the Kitsap Regional Council and recruited me out of retirement. Captain Tanaka will be soon be moving on to Chief of Staff of the George Washington Battle Group. The new CO will be Mark Olson, currently the CO of the Ohio, he is a submariner. It is joyful to be part of this important Base and a liaison to the Regional Council. Please call me if you have any questions.

Steve Bauer, Kitsap County: The Consent Agenda today had an action to approve a Board member for the Kitsap County Community Development Corporation (KCCDC). It appears that the Corporation has done little work, but it has a staff and a Board of Directors, with a charter and salary. Why is this a separate organization, why is it not part of Olympic College's Small Business Program?

Ed Stern, City of Poulsbo: Accessory Dwelling Units should be a topic at the Board Retreat in June. Puget Sound Energy is selling their grid (including Kitsap's) to out of state companies.

Carol Arends, City of Bremerton: A local woman saw me on BKAT and was impressed that we tape our meetings!

10. Adjourn: 11:01 a.m.

Meeting Participants:

Jan Angel, Kitsap County
Steve Bauer, Kitsap County
Kim Brackett, City of Bainbridge Island *
Lary Coppola, City of Port Orchard
Leonard Forsman, Suquamish Tribe
Bill Mahan, Port of Bremerton *
Carolyn Powers, City of Port Orchard
Doug Quade, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe *
Ed Stern, City of Poulsbo *

Carol Arends, City of Bremerton
Cary Bozeman, City of Bremerton
Josh Brown, Kitsap County
Tom Danaher, Naval Base Kitsap *
Darlene Kordonowy, City of Bainbridge Island
Will Maupin, City of Bremerton
Rob Purser, Suquamish Tribe *
Kathryn Quade, City of Poulsbo
Nick Wofford, City of Bremerton *

* alternates

Board Members Not in Attendance:

Ron Charles, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe
Reid Tanaka, Naval Base Kitsap

Cheryl Kincer, Port of Bremerton
Debbie Vancil, City of Bainbridge Island

Executive Management:

McClure Consulting LLC

Mary McClure, Executive Manager

Nicole White Clark, Project Coordination

Kirsten Jewell, Project Coordination

Others in Attendance:

Ken Attebery, Port of Bremerton

Linda Berry-Maraist, City of Poulsbo

Olga Fedorovski, Literacy Council

Jennifer Hardison, South Kitsap Helpline

Patty Lent, Citizen

Joe Roszak, Kitsap Mental Health Services

Rep. Larry Seaquist, Washington State

Kathy Cocus, Kitsap Economic Development Alliance

Vivian Henderson, Kitsap Alliance of Property Owners

Deborah Howard, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority

Ron Ross, Kitsap Alliance of Property Owners

Jackie Rossworn, Kitsap Alliance of Property Owners

Bill Stewart, Kitsap Economic Development Alliance

Arno Bergstrom, WSU Extension

Becky Erickson, City of Poulsbo

Mark Gulbranson, Puget Sound Reg'l Council

Chris Henry, *The Kitsap Sun*

Tim Matthes, Kitsap Alliance of Property Owners

Dale Rudolph, City of Poulsbo

Trish Tierney, Literacy Council