



# Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council

Chair  
Commissioner Robert Gelder ❖  
Kitsap County

Vice-Chair  
Mayor Anne Blair ❖  
City of Bainbridge Island

Commissioner Charlotte Garrido  
Commissioner Ed Wolfe  
Kitsap County

Mayor Patty Lent ❖  
Council Member Leslie Daug  
Council Member Greg Wheeler  
Council Member Dino Davis \*  
City of Bremerton

Council Member Wayne Roth  
Council Member Steve Bonkowski \*  
City of Bainbridge Island

Mayor Tim Matthes  
Council Member Bek Ashby ❖  
Council Member Jeff Cartwright \*  
City of Port Orchard

Mayor Becky Erickson  
Council Member Ed Stern \* ❖  
City of Poulsbo

Council Chair Leonard Forsman  
Fisheries Director Rob Purser\*  
Suquamish Tribe\*\*\*

Council Chair Jeremy Sullivan  
Noo-Kayet CEO Chris Placentia \*  
Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe\*\*\*

Commissioner Axel Strakeljahn  
Commissioner Larry Stokes \*  
Port of Bremerton

Captain Tom Zwolfer  
Silvia Klatman, PAO \*  
Naval Base Kitsap \*\*

Executive Director John Clauson  
Kitsap Transit \*\*\*

Mary McClure  
Executive Management  
McClure Consulting LLC

\* Alternate  
\*\* Ex Officio Member  
\*\*\* Associate Member

❖ Executive Committee

P.O. Box 1934  
Kingston, WA 98346  
360-377-4900 (voice)  
360-297-7762 (fax)  
[www.KitsapRegionalCouncil.org](http://www.KitsapRegionalCouncil.org)

## Executive Board Meeting

April 7, 2015

### Approved Meeting Minutes

- 1. Call to Order:** Chair Robert Gelder called the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council (KRCC) Executive Board meeting to order at 10:15 a.m. (*Attendance at end*)
- 2. Citizen Comments:** None
- 3. Approve Minutes of March 3, 2015 Executive Board Meeting:**  
Bainbridge Island City Councilmember Wayne Roth attended the March 3, 2015 meeting, but he was listed as not being in attendance. This error will be corrected.  
**Motion:** (*Lent*) and second (*Garrido*) to approve the minutes of the March 3, 2015 meeting as corrected. *Motion carried unanimously.*
- 4. Transportation: Rural Town Centers and Corridors (RTCC) Program:**  
*Greg Cioc*, Kitsap County Transportation Funding Manager, reviewed Kitsap County's Washington Boulevard Corridor Improvement Project in Kingston, which was unanimously recommended by the KRCC Transportation Policy Committee (TransPOL) for submittal to the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) RTCC Grant Program. ([Project Overview and maps](#))  
**Motion:** (*Erickson*) and second (*Lent*) to approve Kitsap County's application for Washington Boulevard Corridor Improvement Project in Kingston for submittal to the Puget Sound Regional Council Rural Town Centers & Corridors Grant Program. *Motion carried unanimously.*
- 5. Washington State Department of Transportation (DOT) Policy Shift:**  
*Mary McClure* and *Greg Cioc*: DOT representatives briefed the TransPOL and the KRCC Transportation Technical Advisory Committee (TransTAC) on its recent adoption of a planning policy shift from the traditional corridor approach that identified needed projects along a corridor and then constructing them over the long term. The new approach, *Practical Solutions & Least Cost Planning*, involves an interactive, partnering process that prioritizes identifying and implementing *least cost/high return* actions to address deficiencies, e.g. demand management, increased transit use, before significant construction projects.  
KRCC has received Surface Transportation Program grant funding for the SR3/SR16 Gorst to Bremerton Feasibility Study, for which DOT will likely be the Project Manager and, if so, will apply this new planning approach. The TransTAC will meet April 9 to discuss DOT policy shift impacts on the Gorst Study, and how to ensure the project work product meets Kitsap's needs.

Mary McClure will bring the results of the TransTAC discussion to the next Board meeting and will provide an overview of the *Practical Solutions & Least Cost Planning* program.

Discussion:

*Erickson:* The obligation date for the Gorst Study is June 1, 2015; it's important one of the jurisdictions providing matching funds "ride herd" on the project to ensure funding is not lost. *McClure:* DOT, Greg Cioc, and Mary McClure are all monitoring the project and its funding deadlines.

*Cioc:* Six jurisdictions are providing funding: DOT, Kitsap County, the City and Port of Bremerton, Kitsap Transit, and the City of Port Orchard. DOT funds must be obligated by June 1. Richard Warren is the proposed DOT Project Manager; he affirmed it is much easier for DOT to obligate funds than for any Kitsap jurisdiction to do so. Any emergent project issues will be brought to the TransPOL and the Board. The letter shifting project management to DOT will go out this week.

6. Countywide Planning Policies (CPP) Revisions:

*Mary McClure:* Reviewed proposed CPP Revisions and the [Update Schedule](#), noting the planning horizon for the two Appendices being proposed for inclusion in the CPP, ([Population Distribution](#) and [Countywide Employment Targets](#)), has been changed from 2035 to 2036. This change was required by the Washington State Department of Commerce (DOC), and will not affect legislative efforts to bring Kitsap's planning cycle in line with the three other PSRC member counties. The change will allow Kitsap's jurisdictions to move ahead with their Comprehensive Plan updates uninterrupted, without risking generating a comment letter from the DOC indicating we have planned to the wrong horizon.

*Andrea Spencer,* City of Bremerton Director of Community Development: The City received DOC comments in early March stating planning horizons must be at least 20 years from document adoption dates. The City's previous planning has been consistent with the CPP, and it was unaware of this DOC issue. The City urges the KRCC Board to adopt the proposed CPP amendments.

*Katrina Knutson,* Kitsap County Department of Community Development Senior Planner: This change will allow Kitsap jurisdictions to plan for an additional six months in this cycle. Kitsap County supports adoption of the proposed revisions. The County previously agreed to plan to the mid-range of Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) population projections, approximately 311,000; pushing the horizon out six months will still put the population targets well within the OFM mid-range – which is the target the KRCC Board approved.

**Public Hearing:** *Chair Gelder* opened the Public Hearing on the Proposed Countywide Planning Policy Revisions. Citizen comments:

*Bill Simmons,* 3338 Baker Road SE, Port Orchard resident: I'm here to address the Port Orchard UGA (Urban Growth Area) and the Phillips Road area, particularly the Phillips Road corridor where I live. I own a 6.5 acre parcel there that is in Stewardship Forest with the State; it's all timber. I have a brush picker contract to harvest salal out of my property. UGA expansion severely impacted me and my neighbors and my family. I'm here to request that in your planning you consider retraction of the UGA along the Phillips Road boundary, preferably retracted back to Sedgewick Road. This expansion of the UGA has brought about and caused the application of a ULID in our area that is severely impacting the elderly and retired people who live in my area; I'm one of them. I'm 71 years old. It's tough for older guys and families to be impacted by the severe assessments caused by the imposition of these ULIDs. My final request is that my property, especially, be removed from the Phillips Road and South Kitsap UGA. Thank you very much.

*Sheila Steinberg,* 3515 SE Baker Road, Port Orchard resident: I concur with the previous speaker; I would like to see the UGA brought back to its 2005 boundaries. I know that in 2016 there will be a requirement to review the UGAs. I am asking that in this review process, you pull back to the UGA boundaries that existed in 2005; that would exclude Phillips Road. No one has actually done any work in that area. The developers

who were all in favor of the UGA being extended to their properties have done nothing in the 9.5 years since the UGA was extended. Thank you; I appreciate your thoughtfulness and your good ear.

*Mary McClure:* KRCC is proposing CPP revision ratification by the Cities and Tribes be done *concurrently* with Kitsap County's adoption. The Cities and Tribes' ratification would be predicated on the County's adoption of proposed revisions as presented. This concurrent adoption timeline will speed the process and enable jurisdictions' Comp Plan Update work to proceed in a predictable environment, since the numbers being adopted here are fundamental to that work. The adoption/ratification process will not materially affect Comp Plan work, unless the revisions are *not* adopted. Without this timeline compression, the County's adoption will take 60-90 days, followed by another 60-90 days for ratification by the Cities and Tribes. A similar concurrent ratification/adoption timeline was used the last time the CPPs were updated.

Discussion:

*Garrido:* Confirmed with Mary McClure that no additional testimony or written comments regarding the proposed revisions have been received, and affirmed public testimony given today will be considered.

*Ashby:* Stated she is familiar with the issues described today in public testimony, and doesn't want to approve the proposed revisions without paying attention to those concerns. *Knutson:* Kitsap County is aware of the UGA retraction proposal near the Phillips Road area. County and City of Port Orchard planning staff are working together on how best to balance employment and population distribution in that area. The County would like to address the issues in its Environmental Impact Statement, as part of the analysis. Katrina took contact information from the testifiers and will be in touch with them. *Cioc:* Affirmed he will meet with Mayor Matthes to discuss the issue.

*Erickson:* Asked if the Board is going to hold a Study Session regarding "real vs. planned" growth data.

*Gelder:* The KRCC Executive Committee agreed to delay the Study Session until the next round of PSRC planning conversations. The issue is definitely still "on the radar". *Erickson:* The Executive Committee made that determination without full Board approval. I will vote against the proposed CPP revisions. This process is the same as what occurred in 2006; at that time we were told we had to adopt these projections without really understanding them. These numbers do not accurately represent Kitsap's growth. We cannot continue to include areas in UGAs when they're not growing. That leads to growth areas "scrambling" to develop infrastructure.

*Matthes:* Expressed his concern regarding UGA numbers and his desire to "get real". Elected officials have often been told these numbers are just projections, but they are serious to people like the testifiers, who are affected by them. If jurisdictions accept these projections, we are obliged to make infrastructure available. I cannot support these projections; I'm not sure they're accurate.

*Daug:* These numbers haven't changed since the Board last reviewed them. They do accurately reflect big transitions in the City of Bremerton regarding Harrison Hospital. *Lent:* Bremerton is not losing employment base - because anything lost from Harrison is being taken up by the Shipyard.

*Ashby:* Shared that after the March Board discussion regarding real vs. projected planning numbers, she reviewed population projections over the last 20 years, and found the growth percentage being allocated within this cycle fell within projected numbers. She expressed her comfort with the targets projected for the City of Port Orchard.

*Garrido:* Reflected two KRCC Member Mayors have expressed concerns regarding the validity of the CPP Update projections. She asked if there was another method to determine projections that might make those Mayors more comfortable with them.

*Spencer:* The WA State Growth Management Act requires jurisdictions to plan for 20 years of growth as estimated by WA OFM; we cannot adopt growth targets that differ from OFM projections. OFM provides a range of growth projections from low to high; the last time Kitsap's projections were updated, we adopted

numbers a little higher than OFM's mid-range. The projections proposed today were essentially lowered a little because an additional six months was added to the planning horizon.

*Knutson:* The first step in this planning process is to identify OFM's numbers for our area; they project a population of 270,000 to 440,000 for Kitsap. The presented targets are in the center of the range provided by OFM's demographic work. When it's time to allocate that projected population among jurisdictions we work with PSRC's regional growth strategy and policy guides to tell us what percentage of population growth should go where. Smaller cities like Poulsbo and Port Orchard share a small percentage of the county's total growth. We will have a great opportunity to discuss whether or not these projections make sense during PSRC's Vision 2040-2050 work. We are bound by PSRC's regional growth strategy and OFM's projections; the proposed updates reflect that. In the past, Kitsap has had more rural growth than urban; we don't necessarily want more than 60% of our growth to continue to go to rural areas.

*Wolfe:* Confirmed he will support the proposed updates because Kitsap's jurisdictions need to move forward with their planning work. The projections may not be exact, but they're the best numbers available. He strongly supports holding a Work Study Session to examine them.

*Garrido:* Affirmed the importance these projections play in planning for the future, and suggested the Board examine past trends to identify if there has been a difference in planning for rural and urban areas - to ensure they are both paid appropriate attention.

**Motion:** (*Wheeler*) and second (*Lent*) to close the public comment period for 2015 Proposed Updates to the Kitsap Countywide Planning Policy and recommend said proposed updates to Kitsap County for adoption and Cities and Tribes for provisional ratification. *Yeas:* Ashby, Blair, Daug, Garrido, Gelder, Lent, Roth, Wheeler, Wolfe. *Nays:* Erickson, Matthes. *Motion carried.*

7. KRCC Board Representation: City of Poulsbo:

*Mary McClure:* At its March meeting the Board discussed increasing the City of Poulsbo's representation on the KRCC Executive Board to two voting members – the Mayor and a City Councilmember. This change will take place when Poulsbo's population reaches 10,000, based on OFM 2015 data. That data will be released on July 1, 2015 and will be brought to the Board for action at its July meeting, if applicable.

8. Kitsap Representation to Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA):

*Mary McClure:* Reviewed a recent request from the PSCAA to identify a representative from either Bainbridge Island, Port Orchard, or Poulsbo to serve on the PSCAA Advisory Council. The representative need not be an elected official - it could be a senior staff member. She asked the three identified cities to make recommendations and bring them to the May Board meeting for discussion and appointment.

9. KRCC Organizational Shift: *Robert Gelder:* Referred Board members to [Recommendations from the Executive Committee](#) regarding organizational shifts, including agreements regarding KRCC functions approved by the Board last November. This meeting's focus is discussion and affirmation of the issues described. He thanked the City of Bremerton for sharing its concerns regarding proposed shifts.

a. Proposed Kit~Net Program Move to Kitsap Public Utilities District (KPUD):

*Mary McClure:* Thanked Ed Stern for his leadership in fostering the [Kitsap Emergency Response Network](#) (Kit~Net), which brought Kitsap jurisdictions together to identify opportunities for broadband service in the public sector. When Kit~Net was created, the group expressed a strong belief that if Kitsap's jurisdictions became their own Internet Service Provider (ISP) they could dramatically lower costs. Unfortunately, strong State legislation required ISP services be provided by private companies. Kit~Net's Policy Advisory Group (PAG) continued to work together - identifying significant opportunities to collaborate on funding, developing programs and learning from each other; grant funds were received because Kit~Net existed. PAG membership includes Information Technology Directors from most of KRCC

member agencies, the library, several school districts, Olympic College, Olympic Educational Service District, and fire districts. During Kit~Net's early years it was agreed KRCC was the best place to administer the program.

KPUD may eventually receive Federal Communications Commission authority to offer broadband to public agencies without forming an ISP, and potentially to offer those services to the broader community. In addition, staff changes within KPUD promise a more open and more functionally-driven program. Given KRCC Board discussion that KRCC would prefer this program be housed elsewhere, Bud Harris, Kitsap County Department of Information Services Director, and Mary McClure discussed the issue with KPUD's General Manager. Based on KPUD's willingness to provide administrative sponsorship, and Bud Harris' and Mary McClure's recommendation, she asked the Board to support her making a formal request to the KPUD Board of Commissioners and a recommendation to the Kit~Net PAG at its next meeting to move program sponsorship to the KPUD.

*Patty Lent*: Noted the Kit~Net program is a good example of KRCC's Strategic Incubator function, as is the West Sound Alliance effort.

**Motion:** (*Lent*) and second (*Daug*s) to continue pursuing moving Kit~Net Program administrative sponsorship to the Kitsap Public Utilities District. *Motion carried unanimously.*

b. KRCC Organizational Form & Staffing:

*Robert Gelder, Ed Stern, Patty Lent and Anne Blair*: After the March Board meeting, the Executive Committee reviewed and revised its recommendations for KRCC staffing. The Committee recommends implementing the in-house staffing model in the long-term, to provide continuity and stability; however, implementing that model in 2015 seems unworkable. Therefore, the current recommendation is to adopt Inter-Local Agreement (ILA) language that allows for either an executive service management contract or an administering agency/in-house staffing model. The Committee would like a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) to be published, because it's been a long while since the Executive Management Services were put out for bid. The RFQ would be published in April, with applicants' responses due by the end of May.

Discussion:

*Wheeler*: On March 4, the Bremerton City Council rejected the proposed amended ILA. The Council did not object to the proposed organizational form, but wishes to review the current KRCC voting structure. The Council requested KRCC perform a cost comparison between hiring an Executive Director, (the in-house model), vs. contracting for management services. Mary McClure provided the comparison by email on April 6 and hard copies were distributed to the Board today.

*Wheeler*: The cost structure information provided reflects the savings that will arise from implementing an Administering Agency. If KRCC continues to pursue the management services contract option, it will still need to contract for financial and legal services.

*Lent*: We need to move forward with putting out an RFQ, then we can use cost information provided by applicants for budgeting. Let's not stop progress in that area as we continue the discussion regarding a services contract vs. in-house staffing. *Blair*: Agreed. Our current contract with McClure Consulting runs through September; regardless of other actions we take, we need to address that issue.

c. KRCC Funding:

*Tim Matthes*: Asked if KRCC has looked at how much we funded the housing element and how much was paid for through grant sources. *Mary McClure* has examined that question. The housing program shift to the County will have an impact on the total amount of the management contract in the future, if the Board decides to pursue that staffing form. The overhead that program provided towards the overall agency is gone now; so it will have an impact, but probably not disastrous.

*Robert Gelder:* The Executive Committee recommends maintaining the current KRCC dues formula, which is based on population and assessed value. The Board reviewed the current KRCC cost structure and several options, including implementing an Administering Agency, and building a reserve.

*Becky Erickson:* The current budget is based on using a management services contract; the Administering Agency options' costs include burdened costs, e.g. office space, computers, healthcare, pension, employee salaries. Our fundamental problem is that the current costs have not traditionally been enough to support KRCC's expenses; that's why we have spent down our reserves. If we go for a management contract again we won't know what our costs are going to be until after the RFQ process. If we go with the Administering Agency and in-house employees option, our costs are known, based on County and Poulsbo proposals to be that agency.

*Patty Lent:* The proposed amended ILA gives us both options, and I want to keep it that way.

d. KRCC Voting Structure:

*Bek Ashby:* Reviewed:

- The current voting structure, (Option A – “weighted voting”), which requires an affirmative majority, including two “Yes” votes from Kitsap County + “Yes” votes from at least two Cities to pass any motion.
- Option B: which mirrors the current structure, but has no requirements regarding County or City affirmation – a simple majority vote.
- Option C: which gives each jurisdiction only one vote, with a simple majority of the six KRCC voting member agencies required to pass a motion.

(Note: Current language requires a 2/3 affirmative vote of those present to make any change to the KRCC By-laws.)

The Port Orchard City Council favors the requirement that at least two cities need to concur with any motion.

*Greg Wheeler:* Presented a voting proposal from the Bremerton City Council for discussion; it describes a simple majority voting structure: “Majority vote means more than ½ of the votes cast by the Executive Board - at a minimum a quorum of the Executive Board present and voting. The proposal simplifies the voting structure and 2/3 majority vote means 2/3 or more of the votes cast by the Executive Board, and at a minimum a quorum of the Executive Board present and voting – those are the quorum requirements.” If the Executive Board adopts Option B (above), we would like the language to be simple and clearly stated.

*Ken Bagwell,* City of Bremerton Legal Counsel, addressed the Board: He drafted the language for the Bremerton City Council voting proposal and offered additional clarification: The current voting membership, 12 voting members, would not change, but an affirmative simple majority of members present, of a quorum, would be able to pass a motion.

Discussion:

*Gelder:* So this option would remove the requirement that at least two County Commissioners be present to establish a quorum, and that a simple majority could pass a motion, without the requirement to have concurrence of at least two County Commissioners and two Cities. *Bagwell:* Yes

*Erickson:* Under this option, a group of KRCC members that did not include representation from all member agencies could make policy decisions for the entire county. We all need to have a voice when decisions are made that affect all of us. *Stern:* Agreed.

*Gelder:* The Kitsap County Commissioners have a responsibility to represent 2/3 of the countywide population. It would be disheartening to adopt a voting structure that would allow this body to convene and take action without representation from the jurisdiction that represents 2/3 of the population.

*Matthes:* I am supportive of the simple majority vote option, but I don't expect the County to not be represented. We probably wouldn't even take action without the County being present.

*Lent:* Perhaps the voting structure could require a representative from each jurisdiction be present.

*Blaire:* What is the problem that needs to be corrected? *Wheeler:* It was the consensus of the City Council to make this proposal; there are seven opinions among them; I don't want to presume to speak for anyone else. Speaking for myself, the impacts of the decisions we're making are significant and the future of this organization is uncertain, not only from a programming and funding standpoint, but in regard to member jurisdictions looking after their own specific interests. I don't want to come to this table without an equal vote.

*Daug:* I'm in support of a non-weighted voting structure that allows the Board to take action even if two County Commissioners are not present, so that required actions won't be postponed. We're looking at many potential organization changes, *e.g.* a new structure, a new way of thinking about employees, a new amended ILA, new standards, new fee structures. I'd like to make those changes with a non-weighted voting structure.

*Matthes:* We started this process over a year ago – to identify what we needed to change, *e.g.* the housing element took so much time and money we decided it would be better to move it out of KRCC. The process was designed to identify what Cities want to do differently, not that they needed to justify those requested changes - just everyone discussing options.

*Ashby:* All of the member organizations except Kitsap County have alternates that can attend meetings if the primary representative can't; that's a real issue. Is there a way to give the County Commissioners alternates? *Blair:* What if alternates were not elected officials? Could members send proxy votes if they couldn't attend? - organizations often do that to keep from impeding actions.

**Motion:** (*Erickson*) and second (*Wolfe*) to extend the April 7 meeting time until the business items on the agenda have been completed. Amendment (*Blaire*): Extend the meeting until 12:30 to accommodate incoming Board meetings. *Amended motion carried unanimously.*

*Roy Runyon, Bremerton City Councilmember:* Mary McClure may have been able to provide historic examples of how KRCC's weighted voting structure has affected the body. Having not represented the City of Bremerton on KRCC, I have concerns about a specific economic development proposal that occurred several years ago – the opportunity to get a Washington State Department of Corrections facility in the City of Bremerton: a \$250 million capital investment, 550 full-time employees, \$50 million per year in supplies and services purchased. One Kitsap County Commissioner decided it was in the interest of the City of Bremerton to oppose the facility and require a regional siting process that would have to go thru KRCC. This was an inappropriate position since the State had already conducted an essentially regional siting process. This was an example of interference by one Kitsap County Commissioner, using this platform to control our economic development initiatives. I read the KRCC functions statement on its website: "The regional council facilitates dialog amongst its members in the areas of land use, transportation and growth planning. The Council is responsible for Kitsap Countywide Planning Policies required by the Washington State Growth Management Act, for allocating federal and state transportation funding, and for information sharing and collaborative action on a wide range of regional issues. Where in that statement does it say *control*?" To Commissioner Garrido: You were the Commissioner who tried to oppose the City of Bremerton's effort, and I've never received an explanation for that. *Garrido:* For the record: No single member of the KRCC Board decides anything by themselves.

*Dino Davis*, Bremerton City Councilmember: I could support a quorum of entities in attendance, for complete representation, but I believe “weighting” the County Commissioners’ votes leaves them open to the appearance of impropriety – because there are only three of you with no alternates. I understand small city concerns regarding having no voice, but a semi-weighted voting structure is a more appropriate way to address inequalities. The example given by Councilmember Runyon demonstrates the appearance of impropriety I would seek to avoid, if it’s correct. The weighted structure could lead to a “walk up veto”; I don’t believe the vote has ever been manipulated in that way, but it could happen. I would ask you to address the voting structure issue to ensure credibility.

*Gelder*: We cannot complete this obviously complex conversation adequately in the meeting time left today. Please bring suggestions for voting structure alternatives to the next Board meeting for discussion, review and consensus building.

*Erickson*: I suggest we address three actions items at our next meeting:

- Administering Agency vs. Management Services Contract
- Dues Structure
- Continuing conversation regarding voting structure

*Blair*: The votes on those actions would be taken under our current voting structure? *Erickson*: Yes.

e. KRCC Dues Allocations:

*Robert Gelder*: The Executive Committee recommends KRCC maintain its current dues allocation structure with no commitment to specific numbers, just the proportional allocation.

**Motion:** (*Blair*) and second (*Ashby*) to continue the current proportional allocation of dues forward.

Discussion:

*Garrido*: Is there any connection between the voting and dues structures?

*McClure*: There is a timing issue to be considered. When the Board adopted the 2015 budget, it made a commitment that by mid-year it would make a proposal regarding additional 2015 funding to member jurisdictions’ governing bodies. If we have to go through a By-law amendment process, we might not be able to keep that commitment.

*Lent*: Dues and voting structures can be adjusted in the future; the members need to know what their financial commitment will be for the balance of 2015.

*Motion Vote*: Yeas: Ashby, Blair, Erickson, Gelder, Lent, Matthes, Roth, Wolfe. Nays: Daus, Garrido, Wheeler. *Motion carries*.

6. Adjournment: 12:30 p.m.

---

## **Attendance:**

### **Board Member Meeting Participants:**

Bek Ashby, City of Port Orchard  
Anne Blair, City of Bainbridge Island  
John Clauson, Kitsap Transit  
Leslie Daus, City of Bremerton  
Dino Davis, City of Bremerton \*  
Becky Erickson, City of Poulsbo  
Leonard Forsman, Suquamish Tribe  
Charlotte Garrido, Kitsap County

\* *Board Alternate*

Robert Gelder, Kitsap County  
Silvia Klatman, Naval Base Kitsap \*  
Patty Lent, City of Bremerton  
Tim Matthes, City of Port Orchard  
Wayne Roth, City of Bainbridge Island  
Ed Stern, City of Poulsbo \*  
Greg Wheeler, City of Bremerton  
Ed Wolfe, Kitsap County

### **Board Members Not in Attendance:**

Steve Bonkowski, City of Bainbridge Island \*  
Jeff Cartwright, City of Port Orchard \*  
Axel Strakeljahn, Port of Bremerton  
Chris Placentia, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe \*

\* *Board Alternate*

Rob Purser, Suquamish Tribe \*  
Larry Stokes, Port of Bremerton \*  
Jeremy Sullivan, Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribe  
Tom Zwolfer, Naval Base Kitsap

### **Executive Management by McClure Consulting LLC:**

Mary McClure, Executive Director

Pamela Browning, Program Coordinator  
Laura Gronnvoll, Office Manager

### **Others in Attendance:**

Peter Boorman, Citizen  
Greg Cioc, Kitsap County (KC) Public Works  
Wetong Flores, Citizen  
Mark Gulbranson, Puget Sound Regional Council  
Katrina Knutson, Kitsap County Department of Community Development  
Roy Runyon, City of Bremerton  
Bill Simmons, Citizen  
Andrea Spencer, City of Bremerton Department of Community Development  
Sheila Steinberg, Citizen  
Mike Sullivan, City of Bremerton  
Kurt Wiest, Bremerton Housing Authority