

The Kitsap Peninsula is the home of sovereign Indian nations, namely the Suguamish and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribes.

KRCC Land Use Technical Advisory Committee (LUTAC) Meeting Agenda

Meeting Date: January 12, 202	3
Meeting Timing: 9:30 - 11:30 a.	m.

Remote Participation: There are two options for remotely participating in this meeting.

- Option A Video Conferencing and Screen Sharing. Please click the following link: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/86163692485.
- Option B Call in only. If you are not by a computer, you can join by phone only. Please call (253) 215-8782 and then enter the *meeting number:* 861 6369 2485 to enter the call. You do not need a participant ID, just press "#" to continue the call.

Main Meeting Objectives:

Discuss approach to developing housing target methodology.

1. Welcome

2. Old Business

a. ACTION: Approve the draft <u>December 8 Meeting Summary</u>
 b. For reference: 2023 KRCC meeting calendar

Page 3 Page 7

3. Committee Updates and Work in Progress

- a. Population and Employment Targets
 - Updates re: adjusting the baseline number consistently across jurisdictions

b. Housing Target Allocation Process

- Commerce's Draft Guidance for Allocating Projected Countywide Housing Needs to Local Jurisdictions (link)
- Responses from Commerce re: LUTAC's questions

Page 8

• Initial information about other counties' processes

Page 9

- Inventory of jurisdictions' Housing Needs Assessments estimates (link)
- Further development of housing targets and methodology

c. Prepare for February 7 KRCC Board/LUTAC Study Session

Discuss Executive Committee feedback on and review draft agenda and slides

Page 12

4. Administrative Agenda

- a. Meeting Review
 - 2023 Land Use Meeting Plan

Page 22

Which meetings should Commerce be invited to, if any?



- b. Revisit KRCC Appointments to the PSRC Regional Staff Committee
- c. Draft 2022 Annual Annexation Report

Page 23 Page 25

- 5. Wrap Up
 - Recap topics covered and summarize key decisions and action items
- 6. Adjourn

Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council (KRCC) Land Use Technical Advisory Committee (LUTAC) December 8, 2022 Meeting Summary | Convened via Teleconference

Draft v.12/20/22

Decisions and Recommendations

• LUTAC recommended developing a new housing target methodology that incorporates Kitsap-specific policy considerations.

Act	ions	Person Responsible	Status
1.	Post the approved November 10 LUTAC summary to the KRCC website.	KRCC Staff	Complete
2.	Provide jurisdictions information about 2020 – 2022 growth in the Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) associated with cities.	Eric Baker	In Progress
3.	Communicate with the Department of Commerce about their presentation during the February 7 KRCC Board meeting.	KRCC Staff	In Progress
4.	Communicate with the Department of Commerce about LUTAC's housing target questions.	KRCC Staff	In Progress
5.	Draft the 2022 annual annexation report.	KRCC Staff	Complete

1. Welcome and Introductions

Sophie Glass, KRCC staff, welcomed LUTAC members to the December 8 meeting. She reviewed the meeting objectives and topics. Attachment A lists the LUTAC members and other presenters in attendance.

2. Old Business

a. Meeting Summary Review: LUTAC members reviewed the November 10 meeting summary. They did not raise any comments or concerns. KRCC staff will post the summary to the KRCC website.

3. Committee Updates and Work in Progress

- a. Population and Employment Growth Allocation Process:
 - Discuss jurisdictions' approaches to 2022 population and employment numbers: Sophie reminded LUTAC members that, during the November LUTAC meeting, they began discussing the potential need for jurisdictions to grow their 2020 population and employment numbers to 2022 to allow for accurate comparisons regarding land capacity for the 2024 Comprehensive Plan.

LUTAC members from Port Orchard, Poulsbo, and Bremerton shared that their jurisdictions plan to add actual development that occurred between 2020 and 2022 to the 2020 population and employment numbers. They do not plan to re-run land capacity analyses for 2022.

Eric Baker offered to provide jurisdictions information about growth in the Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) associated with cities.

LUTAC members discussed the methodology of identifying growth between 2020 and 2022. They considered whether it would be accurate to assume that all developments that have been built are fully occupied. They also considered whether the counts should be based on final permits or occupancy permits.

LUTAC members agreed to continue this conversation at the January LUTAC meeting.

b. Housing Target Allocation Process:

 Initial housing target outcomes from Commerce's methodologies: KRCC staff shared Commerce's draft Housing for All Planning Tool (HAPT) spreadsheet. The spreadsheet includes draft housing targets based on two allocation methodologies: Methodology A and Methodology B.

LUTAC members shared the following comments:

- Methodology A does not acknowledge that some jurisdictions already have housing stock under 80% Area Median Income (AMI).
- Methodology B includes negative numbers; LUTAC members would need guidance from Commerce about how to approach negative numbers.
- Methodology B targets a large amount of housing in rural areas, but existing policies discourage growth in rural areas.
- The persons per household number used in the HAPT is significantly lower than the persons per household number that jurisdictions are using in their individual plans.
- It would be helpful to have separate lines in the HAPT that show Silverdale, Kingston, Central Kitsap, and each of the UGAs associated with cities.
- Each of the Kitsap jurisdictions is working on or has developed some form of Housing Action Plan.

LUTAC members noted that Kitsap could choose to use its own methodology, and identified several considerations that a new methodology should incorporate:

- Existing supportive services
- Recognition of existing affordable housing
- Recognition of land costs in jurisdictions
- Station area planning along the planned High-Capacity Transit routes
- Individual jurisdictions' conversations
- Jurisdictions' Housing Action Plans
- Excluding new construction of <80% AMI in rural areas and measures to strive to prevent displacement of existing <80% AMI housing
- Separate numbers for Silverdale, Central Kitsap, Kingston, and UGAs associated with cities

LUTAC members shared the following questions for Commerce staff:

 Would it be possible for the HAPT to break out Unincorporated Kitsap County so that it has separate lines for Silverdale, Kingston, Central Kitsap, and each of the UGAs associated with cities (Poulsbo UGA, Port Orchard UGA, Bremerton UGA)?



- For the HAPT, what were Commerce's assumptions regarding persons per household?
- If Kitsap uses its own methodology for developing housing targets, can this methodology exclude rural areas from <80% AMI?
- How do housing targets developed as part of this process relate to housing targets that jurisdictions develop as part of their individual Housing Action Plans?

LUTAC members requested that KRCC staff take the following next steps:

- Identify existing social service providers.
- Gather housing targets from jurisdictions' housing needs assessments.
- Connect with staff from King County, Snohomish County, and Pierce County to understand their approaches to developing housing targets.
- c. 2023 Land Use Meeting Plan: Sophie shared that the KRCC Executive Committee was in support of additional LUTAC meetings in 2023 and adjusted the KRCC budget accordingly. The Executive Committee also recommended that the February 7 KRCC Board meeting be a study session about housing targets, including an overview from the Department of Commerce. The KRCC Board approved the adjusted budget during the December 6 Board meeting.

LUTAC members recommended that Commerce's presentation on February 7 focus on implications of HB 1220 on jurisdictions' planning processes. They also recommended that Sophie lead the presentation about Commerce's methodologies.

LUTAC members added draft topics to LUTAC and PlanPOL meetings within the tentative 2023 KRCC land use meeting plan.

4. Administrative Agenda

- **a. Annual Annexation Report:** LUTAC members shared that no jurisdictions completed annexations in 2022. KRCC staff will draft the annual annexation report.
- b. Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) Regional Staff Committee Appointments: LUTAC members edited the draft KRCC LUTAC roster and draft roster of KRCC appointees to the PSRC Regional Staff Committee.

5. Wrap Up

a. Recap: Sophie reviewed the decisions and action items listed in the table above.

6. Adjourn

Attachment A: List of LUTAC Members in Attendance (Virtual Participation Only)

Name	Affiliation (alphabetical)				
1. HB Harper	City of Bainbridge Island				
2. Andrea Spencer	City of Bremerton				
3. Nick Bond	City of Port Orchard				
4. Jim Fisk	City of Port Orchard				
5. Andrew Oliver	Leland Consulting/City of Port Orchard				
6. Heather Wright	City of Poulsbo				
7. Eric Baker	Kitsap County				
8. Ed Coviello	Kitsap Transit				
9. Nicole Leaptrot-Figueras	Naval Base Kitsap				
10. Allison Satter	Naval Base Kitsap				
11. Erika Harris	Puget Sound Regional Council				
12. Alison O'Sullivan	Suquamish Tribe				
13. Yvette Liufau	Washington State Department of Transportation				
Sophie Glass	KRCC Technical Director				
Pauline Mogilevsky	KRCC Land Use Program Lead				

2023 Calendar of Expected Meetings (updated 12-21-22)

		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
0.14	Board* 1st Tues. Time varies Executive		Feb 7 Board Meeting/ Land Use Wkshop 12:30-2:30			May 2 Board Meeting 12:30-2:30	Jun 6 Board Meeting 1:45-3:45				Oct 3 Board Meeting 1:45-3:45	Nov 7 Board Meeting 12:30-2:30	Dec 5 Board Meeting 2:15-4:15
	Executive Committee 3 rd Thurs. 11:00AM- 1:00PM	Jan. 19 Executive Committee Meeting	Feb 16 Executive Committee Meeting	Mar 16 Executive Committee Meeting	Apr 20 Executive Committee Meeting	May 18 Executive Committee Meeting	Jun 15 Executive Committee Meeting (cancel if no need)			Sept 21 Executive Committee Meeting	Oct 19 Executive Committee Meeting	Nov 16 Executive Committee Meeting	Dec 14 Executive Committee Meeting (2 nd Thurs)
2014	TransPOL* 3rd Thurs. 3:00-4:30PM			Mar 16 TransPOL Meeting			Jun 15 TransPOL Meeting				Oct 19 TransPOL Meeting		
-	TransTAC 2 nd Thurs. 1:30-3:00PM		Feb 9 TransTAC Meeting (in-person @ Kitsap Co)			May 11 TransTAC Meeting (virtual)				Sept 14 TransTAC Meeting (virtual)		Nov 9 TransTAC Meeting (in-person @ Poulsbo)	
9	PlanPOL* 3rd Tues. 1:30-3:00PM			Mar 21 PlanPOL Meeting	Apr 18 PlanPOL Meeting		Jun 20 PlanPOL Meeting				Oct 17 PlanPOL Meeting		
-	LUTAC 2 nd &4 th Thurs. 9:30-11:30AM	Jan 12 LUTAC Meeting	Feb 9 Feb 23 LUTAC Meeting	Mar 9 Mar 23 LUTAC Meeting	Apr 13 Apr 27 LUTAC Meeting	May 11 May 25 LUTAC Meeting				Sept 14 LUTAC Meeting		Nov 9 LUTAC Meeting	

KRCC Retreat Date: TBD

Legislative Reception Date: TBD date

12/23/22

 Question: Would it be possible for the Housing for All Planning Tool to break out Unincorporated Kitsap County so that it has separate lines for Silverdale, Kingston, Central Kitsap, and each of the UGAs associated with cities (Poulsbo UGA, Port Orchard UGA, Bremerton UGA)?

Commerce's Response: Unfortunately, this is not a level of detail we can provide in a statewide tool. We will be able to break out unincorporated Kitsap County into unincorporated UGA areas and those areas outside of UGAs, but nothing more with this scope of work.

2. Question: For the HAPT, what were Commerce's assumptions regarding persons per household?

Commerce's Response: Future household size or persons per household is developed uniquely for each county. Commerce developed unique household size projections by county based on actual estimates from the 2020 Census as well as the impacts of projected demographic shifts—specifically the breakdown of projected population by age group. More details on this methodology and assumptions can be found on pages 7-10 in our <u>projection methodology</u>.

3. Question: If Kitsap uses its own methodology for developing housing targets, can this methodology exclude rural areas from <80% AMI?

Commerce's Response: The county may choose any method of allocating housing needs to the areas in the county so long as it meets the minimum allocation requirements in our <u>allocation</u> <u>guidance</u>. These minimum guidelines can be found on page 5. This proposed approach seems to be consistent with the minimum allocation requirements so long as it is consistent with relevant countywide planning policies and multicounty planning policies.

4. Question: How do housing targets developed as part of this process relate to housing targets that jurisdictions develop as part of their individual Housing Action Plans?

Commerce's Response: We will be coming out with guidance on this in February, but a jurisdiction's countywide allocated housing targets are what jurisdictions will be held to from a planning standpoint. The housing needs identified in the Housing Action Plan should inform HOW the jurisdiction will plan to meet the allocations, especially since the goal of a Housing Action Plan is to identify housing strategies to meet the local needs. For example, if a jurisdiction is allocated 500 units of 0-30% AMI housing units — who will need those housing units in the city? That populations needs and housing characteristics should inform how the city plans to encourage that housing typology and where that housing should go. If the need for the 0-30% AMI housing is primarily elderly or retired individuals, the jurisdiction would want to plan and encourage smaller, ADA accessible units with low maintenance in amenity rich areas. If those needs were for single adults or young families, those approaches to planning would be slightly different.

Puget Sound Counties' Approach to Housing Targets

12/22/22

Jurisdiction: King County

Status: King County adopted housing targets as a part of its 2021 Countywide Planning Policies update. Now jurisdictions are squaring those targets with the new need requirements from HB 1220.

Process:

Figure 1: Growth targets setting process



*PAA = Potential Annexation Area

Methodology:

Jurisdictional Housing Need Allocation Options

Methodological options under consideration by the Affordable Housing Committee to allocate shares of permanent countywide need to jurisdictions include the following:

- Option 1: Focus on new growth: Same percent shares of new housing growth are affordable in every jurisdiction
- Option 2: Focus on 2044: Same percent shares of total housing stock in 2044 are affordable in every jurisdiction
- Option 3: Focus on new growth adjusted for local factors: Same percent shares of new housing growth are affordable in every jurisdiction and adjusts outputs within each income band by three factors:
 - 1) Percent share of housing that's currently affordable at 0-80% AMI;
 - 2) Percent share of housing that's currently income restricted at 0-80% AMI;
 - 3) Subregional ratio of low-wage jobs to low-wage workers

Lessons Learned:

TBD (meeting in mid-January)


Resources:

- Frequently Asked Questions on Growth Targets, Housing Need, and Comprehensive Plans (Dec. 2022).
- Recent Affordable Housing Committee <u>staff report on jurisdictional housing need</u> (September 23, 2022)
- 2021 GMPC staff report on targets (page 7, final adopted targets here) (March 31, 2021)
- <u>Dashboard</u> (leave password blank the page is not actually password protected)

Jurisdiction: Snohomish County

Status: Snohomish County has developed draft 2044 initial housing targets for jurisdictions that are still under review at the various Snohomish County Tomorrow committees.

Process:



Methodology:

Essentially, Method C builds off of Method A but gives jurisdictions "credit" for their existing affordable housing supply to be closer to Commerce's fair share model but avoids the negative numbers that Method B generates. Commerce's guidance provides for the ability of counties to work in collaboration with their cities and towns to adjust the outputs of the HAPT tool, provided that the sum of the adjusted housing needs allocated to local jurisdictions adds to the total countywide housing need projection. This should be the case for each income level, PSH and emergency housing. The calculation of the Method C allocation specifically involved:

- Comparison of the percent distribution of housing supply affordable by income category within each jurisdiction in 2020 with the percent distribution of countywide housing supply affordable by income category in 2020.
- For those jurisdictions which are underrepresented in 2020 in an income category
 relative to the countywide shares, the Method A 2020-2044 housing allocation within
 that income category was increased by an amount identical to the jurisdiction's numeric
 underrepresentation.
- For those jurisdictions which are overrepresented in 2020 in an income category relative
 to the countywide shares, the Method A 2020-2044 housing allocation within that
 income category was reduced by an amount identical to the jurisdiction's numeric
 overrepresentation.

- If a jurisdiction was overrepresented in an income category by an amount which exceeds
 the Method A 2020-2044 housing allocation within the income category (creating a
 negative future growth assignment), then the growth amount within that income
 category was set to zero and a proportional redistribution of housing needs for the
 jurisdiction's remaining income categories with positive growth is calculated.
- Jurisdictional allocations of housing need by income category were controlled to Commerce's countywide housing need by income category and the jurisdiction's 2020-2044 housing growth target.
- Countywide Permanent Supportive Housing and emergency housing needs are allocated in proportion to the jurisdiction's target share of countywide housing unit growth.

Lessons Learned:

TBD (meeting in mid-January)

Resources:

- Housing Characteristics and Needs Report <u>Appendix D: Draft Housing Targets</u> (page 35)
- <u>Housing Characteristics and Needs Report Chapter 4</u>: Distribution of Housing Targets Across Income Bands (page 50)

Pierce County

Status: Exploring using Commerce's (draft) fair share allocation tool based on the County's adopted targets.

Process: TBD (meeting in mid-January)

Methodology: TBD

Lessons Learned: TBD

Resources: TBD



The Kitsap Peninsula is the home of sovereign Indian nations, namely the Suguamish and Port Gamble S'Klallam Tribes.

KRCC Joint Board and Land Use Technical Advisory Committee Housing Targets Study Session Agenda

v. 12/8/2022

Date: **February 07, 2023 Time**: 12:30 PM - 2:30 PM

Place: This meeting will be held primarily in person with virtual options.

In-Person option: Norm Dicks Government Center Chambers, 345 6^{th} Street, Bremerton, WA 98337

Virtual option (if needed)

To participate in the video conference remotely and view the screen share:
 https://us06web.zoom.us/j/88278378408

 If you are joining by video, please add your affiliation after your name.

A. To participate by phone only: Dial 253 215 8782 and enter the Webinar ID: 882 7837 8408

Note that this meeting will be recorded via Bremerton Kitsap Access Television (BKAT).

1. Welcome and Introductions

2. Chair's Comments

3. Consent Agenda (vote)

A. ACTION: Approve the 12/06/2022 KRCC Board Meeting Summary

Page

B. Review the December Executive Committee meeting summary (Reference Packet page #)

4. Full Discussion/Action Items

A.	ACTION: Approve the PSRC Board and Committee Roster for 2023	Page
B.	ACTION: Approve the KRCC Board and Committee Roster for 2023	Page
C.	ACTION: Approve the 2022 Annual Annexation Report	Page
D.	Review the KRCC Executive Committee for 2023	Page

5. Presentation of Housing Targets by the Department of Commerce

- A. Overview of HB 1220 and Housing Targets
- B. Overview of Housing for All Planning Tool (HAPT) Methodology A and B
- C. Implications on Comprehensive Planning

6. Discussion of Countywide Housing Targets (presented by KRCC staff)

- A. Overview of <u>housing target development timeline</u>
- B. Policy implications
- C. Overview of the housing target methodologies
 - a. Discussion: What other considerations should be part of Kitsap's housing target methodology?
 - b. Discussion: How can the housing targets account for racial/social equity?
- D. Next steps and 2023 Land Use Meeting Plan

7. Staff Report

A. KRCC Income Statement*

Page

8. Public Comment



9. KRCC Board Questions, Concerns, and Announcements

10. Adjourn

* Standing agenda item



KITSAP REGIONAL COORDINATING COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEETING

February 7, 2023

Overview of Housing Targets and Implications on Comprehensive Planning

Department of Commerce

DISCUSSION OF KITSAP COUNTYWIDE HOUSING TARGETS PROCESS

KRCC Staff

OTHER COUNTIES' EFFORTS



Kitsap

Initial stages
Developing own methodology



King

Late stages
Refining housing targets from 2021 CPP



Pierce

Initial stages
Assessing Commerce's methodologies



Snohomish

Late stages
Final review of draft housing targets

HOUSING TARGET DEVELOPMENT TIMELINE

Feb. – Mar.

Mar. - May

June

LUTAC

Develop Methodology C

Develop housing targets

PlanPOL

Review Methodology C (March 21) Review draft housing targets (April 18)

KRCC Board

Review draft housing targets (May 2)

Approve housing targets (June 6)

Individual jurisdictions

LUTAC – where should the final housing targets be housed – just on the KRCC website?

Incorporate targets into Comprehensive Plans

Packet Page 18

OVERVIEW OF HOUSING TARGET METHODOLOGIES

Methodology A

Methodology B

Assumes all housing needs are accommodated through new housing production

Assumes all jurisdictions accommodate equivalent shares of total countywide housing need at each income level, proportional to their size (can result in negative allocations)

Methodology C
To Be Developed by Kitsap

Could consider:

- Point in Time counts
- Recognition of supportive services in existence (note: potential risk of perpetuating inequities)
- Recognition of land costs in jurisdictions
- Recognition of existing affordable housing
- Separate numbers for Silverdale, CK, and Kingston + unincorporated UGAs
- Station area planning along the planned HCT routes
- Housing Action Plans
- Excluding new construction of <80% AMI in rural areas and measures to strive to prevent displacement of existing <80% AMI housing
- Individual jurisdictions' conversations

DISCUSSION

- What other considerations should be part of Kitsap's housing target methodology?
- How can the housing targets account for racial/social equity?
- Other?

LUTAC – what else?

NEXT STEPS

- March 21 PlanPOL meeting review Methodology C
- Internal work on housing targets within each jurisdiction



Tentative 2023 Meeting Plan for

Land Use Technical Advisory Committee (LUTAC) and Land Use Policy Committee (PlanPOL)

DRAFT v. 12/8/22

January 12 LUTAC Meeting	February 7 KRCC Board/LUTAC Housing Target Meeting	February 9 LUTAC Meeting	February 23 LUTAC Meeting	March 9 LUTAC Meeting	March 21 PlanPOL Meeting	March 23 LUTAC Meeting	April 13 LUTAC Meeting
 Agenda Items: Review slide deck and talking points for February 7 meeting Population and employment targets – baseline numbers KRCC staff present updated numbers for UGAs 	 Agenda Items: Dept of Commerce presentation (foundations of housing targets for elected officials) Policy implications to be aware of (taking into account existing housing stock, rural areas, how to approach too much stock in certain income bands) Methodologies	 Agenda Items: KRCC staff present on other counties' housing target approaches KRCC staff present comparison of Housing Needs Assessment numbers Commerce guidance on implementation? (invite Commerce) 	 Agenda Items: KRCC staff share out on social services inventory Commerce guidance on implementation? (invite Commerce) Discuss methodology C approach Confirm March 21 PlanPOL meeting topics 	Agenda Items: Commerce guidance on implementation? (invite Commerce) Confirm methodology C to share with PlanPOL	 Agenda Items: Affordable housing roundrobin Explain methodology C Tentative goal: show methodology C targets 	Agenda Items: •	Agenda Items: •
April 18 PlanPOL Meeting	April 27 LUTAC Meeting	May 11 LUTAC Meeting	May 25 LUTAC Meeting	June 20 PlanPOL Meeting	September 14 LUTAC Meeting	October 17 PlanPOL Meeting	November 9 LUTAC Meeting
Agenda Items: Review draft housing targets Affordable housing roundrobin Confirm materials for May 2 KRCC Board meeting	Agenda Items: • Final preparation for May 2 KRCC Board meeting	Agenda Items: • Debrief May 2 KRCC Board meeting and discuss any changes to the housing targets	Agenda Items: • Prepare for June 6 KRCC Board meeting • Discuss candidate countywide centers	Agenda Items: • Affordable housing roundrobin	Agenda Items: •	Agenda Items: • Affordable housing roundrobin	Agenda Items: • Annual annexation report • PSRC RSC appointments

2023 Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) and Corridor Committee Appointments for the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council (KRCC) DRAFT v. 11.15.22

Below is a list of 2022 KRCC appointees serving on PSRC Boards. This list also includes KRCC appointees on corridor committees.

Reminder: Always check the KRCC website for the most up to date information. http://www.kitsapregionalcouncil.org/

Representatives and alternates in **black text** have been updated for 2023.

Those in grey text served in 2022. KRCC staff needs confirmation/updates for 2023.

	Jurisdiction	Representative	Alternate(s)			
	Economic Development District Board (EDD)					
	Kitsap County	Charlotte Garrido				
	Kitsap Other Cities	Ed Stern (Poulsbo)	Shawn Cucciardi (Port Orchard)			
	Suquamish Tribe	Leonard Forsman	Luther "Jay" Mills			
	Port of Bremerton	Axel Strakeljahn	Gary Anderson			
	Bremerton	Greg Wheeler	Michael Goodnow			
	Executive Board					
	Kitsap County	Charlotte Garrido	Rob Gelder			
	Kitsap Other Cities	Becky Erickson (Poulsbo)	Joe Deets (Bainbridge)			
	Port of Bremerton	Axel Strakeljahn	Gary Anderson			
	Bremerton	Greg Wheeler	Michael Goodnow			
	Port Orchard	Rob Putaansuu	Jay Rosapepe			
rds	Growth Management Policy Board (GMPB)					
PSRC Boards	Kitsap County		Charlotte Garrido			
C E	Kitsap Other Cities**	Jon Quitslund (Bainbridge)	Jay Rosapepe (Port Orchard)			
PSF	Suquamish Tribe	Rob Purser	Tom Ostrom			
	Bremerton	Greg Wheeler	Michael Goodnow			
	Operations Committee (OC)					
	Kitsap County/Cities*	Becky Erickson (Poulsbo)	Rob Gelder (Kitsap County)			
	Transportation Policy Board					
	Kitsap County	Rob Gelder	Charlotte Garrido			
	Other Cities**	Rob Putaansuu (Port Orchard)	Leslie Schneider (Bainbridge)			
	Kitsap Transit	Becky Erickson (Poulsbo)	John Clauson			
	Ports	(filled)	Cary Bozeman			
	Suquamish Tribe	Luther "Jay" Mills	Leonard Forsman			
	Bremerton	Greg Wheeler	Michael Goodnow			
	Rotating Alternate					
	Other Cities (for Policy Boards)	Brenda Fantroy-Johnson (Bainbridge)				
	SR 305					
	Kitsap County		Rob Gelder			
	Poulsbo	Becky Erickson				
ses	Suquamish Tribe	Leonard Forsman				
itte	Kitsap Transit	John Clauson				
m m	Bainbridge Island	Joe Deets				
Corridor Committees	SR 16					
Jor	Kitsap County	Charlotte Garrido				
rric	Port Orchard	Rob Putaansuu				
ပိ	Port of Bremerton	Axel Strakeljahn				
	Kitsap Transit	J	ohn Clauson			
	SR 104					
	TBD	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	TBD			

^{*}Selected from PSRC Executive Board meetings

^{**&}quot;Other cities" seats are shared by 3 cities and rotated among them year to year.

2023 Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) Appointments for the Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council (KRCC) DRAFT v. 1-6-23

Below is a list of 2022 KRCC appointees serving on PSRC Committees.

 $Reminder: Always \ check \ the \ KRCC \ website \ for \ the \ most \ up \ to \ date \ information. \ \underline{http://www.kitsapregionalcouncil.org/}$

Representatives and alternates in **black text** have been updated for 2023.

Those in grey text served in 2022. KRCC staff needs confirmation/updates for 2023.

Jurisdiction	Representative	Alternate(s)
Bicycle/Pedestrian Advisory Committee		
Kitsap County	David Forte	Melissa Mohr
Bremerton	Chris Dimmitt	Vicki Grover
Other Cities	Chris Wierzbicki (Bainbridge Island)	Anthony Burgess (Poulsbo)
Regional FTA Caucus		
Kitsap Transit	Steffani Lillie	Jeff Davidson
Regional Project Evaluation Committee		
Kitsap Other Cities	Diane Lenius (Poulsbo)	Mark Dorsey (Port Orchard)
Kitsap County	David Forte	Joe Rutan
Port of Bremerton	Fred Salisbury	Arne Bakker
Bremerton	Shane Weber	Ned Lever; Chris Dimmitt
Kitsap Transit	Steffani Lillie	Jeff Davidson
Regional Staff Committee		
Kitsap County	Eric Baker	Jeff Rimack
Other Cities	Nick Bond (Port Orchard)	Patty Charnas (Bainbridge Island)
At Large	Heather Wright (Poulsbo)	Patty Charnas (Bainbridge Island)
Transit	Ed Coviello	
Bremerton	Andrea Spencer	Garrett Jackson
Bremerton Economic Development Rep Regional Traffic Operations Committee Kitsap Other Cities Kitsap County	Joe Morrison (KEDA)	
Regional Traffic Operations Committee		
Kitsap Other Cities	Chris Hammer (Poulsbo)	
Kitsap County	Joe Rutan	
Bremerton	Vicki Grover	Chris Dimmitt
Kitsap Transit	Steffani Lillie	
Regional Transportation Demand Managem	ent	
Committee		
Kitsap Other Cities or Kitsap County	Chris Hammer (Poulsbo)	
Bremerton	Cathy Bonsell	Vicki Grover
Kitsap Transit	Lindsay Kuiphoff	Steffani Lillie
Regional TransTAC Chairs Committee		
KRCC TransTAC	Steffani Lillie (Kitsap Transit)	David Forte (Kitsap County)
Transportation Operators Committee		
Kitsap Transit	Steffani Lillie	Ed Coviello
Freight Advisory Committee		
Bremerton	Vicki Grover	Shane Weber
Kitsap County	David Forte	
Port of Bremerton	Fred Salisbury	
Regional Intelligent Transportation System Committee		
Bremerton	Vicki Grover	Shane Weber



Kitsap Regional Coordinating Council (KRCC) 2022 Annual Annexation Report

Draft v. 12/15/22

The KRCC Land Use Technical Advisory Committee (LUTAC) is responsible for providing an annual annexation report to the KRCC Executive Board.

From January 1, 2022 through December 15, 2022, there have been no annexations of unincorporated Kitsap County into any of the existing jurisdictions.